

Proposal for C2X
WG14 N2570

Title: Feature and WANT macros for Annex F functions
Author, affiliation: C FP group
Date: 2020-08-03
Proposal category: Technical
Reference: N2478

Problem:

Synopses in Annex F in the current C2X draft use feature and WANT macros in an irregular way.
For example, F.10.12.1 has

```
#define __STDC_WANT_IEC_60559_BFP_EXT__
#include <math.h>
int totalorder(const double *x, const double *y);
int totalorderf(const float *x, const float *y);
int totalorderl(const long double *x, const long double *y);
#ifndef __STDC_IEC_60559_DFP__
int totalorderd32(const _Decimal32 *x, const _Decimal32 *y);
int totalorderd64(const _Decimal64 *x, const _Decimal64 *y);
int totalorderd128(const _Decimal128 *x, const _Decimal128 *y);
#endif
```

which doesn't mention `__STDC_WANT_IEC_60559_DFP_EXT__` or
`__STDC_WANT_IEC_60559_BFP__`.

Discussion:

For consistency with other uses of feature and WANT macros in synopses, this might be split into two frames, as

```
#define __STDC_WANT_IEC_60559_BFP_EXT__
#include <math.h>
#ifndef __STDC_IEC_60559_BFP__
int totalorder(const double *x, const double *y);
int totalorderf(const float *x, const float *y);
int totalorderl(const long double *x, const long double *y);
#endif
```

```

#define __STDC_WANT_IEC_60559_DFP_EXT__
#include <math.h>
#ifndef __STDC_IEC_60559_DFP__
int totalorderd32(const _Decimal32 *x, const _Decimal32 *y);
int totalorderd64(const _Decimal64 *x, const _Decimal64 *y);
int totalorderd128(const _Decimal128 *x, const _Decimal128 *y);
#endif

```

The separate WANT macros `__STDC_WANT_IEC_60559_BFP_EXT__` and `__STDC_WANT_IEC_60559_DFP_EXT__` for IEC 60559 binary and decimal floating point appear to be left over from the TS 18661 requirement for a WANT macro for all decimal interfaces. This requirement was dropped when parts 1 and 2 of the TS were incorporated into C2X, except for interfaces in Annex F. One WANT macro such as

`__STDC_WANT_IEC_60559_EXT__` could guard all the interfaces in Annex F. If the user defines the macro, then which Annex F interfaces become available would depend on whether the implementation defines `__STDC_IEC_60559_BFP__` and/or `__STDC_IEC_60559_DFP__`. Then, the Annex F synopses could have the one frame:

```

#define __STDC_WANT_IEC_60559_EXT__
#include <math.h>
#ifndef __STDC_IEC_60559_BFP__
int totalorder(const double *x, const double *y);
int totalorderf(const float *x, const float *y);
int totalorderl(const long double *x, const long double *y);
#endif
#ifndef __STDC_IEC_60559_DFP__
int totalorderd32(const _Decimal32 *x, const _Decimal32 *y);
int totalorderd64(const _Decimal64 *x, const _Decimal64 *y);
int totalorderd128(const _Decimal128 *x, const _Decimal128 *y);
#endif

```

Note that the only interfaces in question are for total order and payload functions, which seem unlikely to pose namespace problems. The interfaces in Annex G (`imaginary` and `_Imaginary_I`) are not guarded by a WANT macro. These considerations suggest a WANT macro for Annex F interfaces isn't really needed.

The following suggested changes eliminate the WANT macro for Annex F interfaces and clean up the synopses in Annex F. The changes to not cover Annex B which will be discussed in a separate paper.

Suggested changes:

Delete F.1 #6:

[6] This annex amends some standard headers with declarations or definitions of identifiers contingent on whether certain macros whose names begin with `__STDC_WANT_IEC_60559__` and end with `_EXT__` are defined (by the user) at the point in the code where the header is first included. Within a preprocessing

~~translation unit, the same set of such macros shall be defined for the first inclusion of all such headers.~~

Change F.5 #1:

- [1] The `<float.h>` header defines the macro

`CR_DECIMAL_DIG`

~~if and only if `_STDC_WANT_IEC_60559_BFP_EXT` is defined as a macro at the point in the source file where it is first included. If defined, `CR_DECIMAL_DIG` which expands to a ...~~

In F.10.12.1 #1, change the Synopsis:

```
#define __STDC_WANT_IEC_60559_BFP_EXT__
#include <math.h>
#ifdef __STDC_IEC_60559_BFP__
int totalorder(const double *x, const double *y);
int totalorderf(const float *x, const float *y);
int totalorderl(const long double *x, const long double *y);
#endif
#ifdef __STDC_IEC_60559_DFP__
int totalorderd32(const _Decimal32 *x, const _Decimal32 *y);
int totalorderd64(const _Decimal64 *x, const _Decimal64 *y);
int totalorderd128(const _Decimal128 *x, const _Decimal128 *y);
#endif
```

Make the similar change to the synopses in F.10.12.2, F.10.13.1, F.10.13.2, and F.10.13.3.