**Proposal for C23**
**WG14 N2747**

**Title:** Annex F overflow and underflow
**Author, affiliation:** C FP group
**Date:** 2021-05-20
**Proposal category:** Editorial
**Reference:** N2596

F.10 characterizes when floating-point “underflow” and “overflow” exceptions are raised:

> [8] The "overflow" floating-point exception is raised whenever an infinity — or, because of rounding direction, a maximal-magnitude finite number — is returned in lieu of a value whose magnitude is too large.
>
> [9] The "underflow" floating-point exception is raised whenever a result is tiny (essentially subnormal or zero) and suffers loss of accuracy.402)
>
> …
>
> [11] Whether or when library functions raise an undeserved "underflow" floating-point exception is unspecified.403) Otherwise, as implied by F.8.6, these functions do not raise spurious floating-point exceptions (detectable by the user), other than the "inexact" floating-point exception.
>
> 403)It is intended that undeserved "underflow" and "inexact" floating-point exceptions are raised only if avoiding them would be too costly.

*Problem* 1: The underflow characterization in #9 is from IEEE 754-1985 and does not correctly reflect the current IEC 60559 specification for underflow.

*Problem* 2: #11 is missing the qualification “not bound to operations in IEC 60559” which was recently added in similar contexts.

*Problem* 3: #11 allows spurious “underflow” floating-point exceptions. However, C (7.12.1) does not allow spurious underflow range errors. Therefore, implementations supporting range errors via floating-point exceptions must avoid raising spurious “underflow” floating-point exceptions that do not meet the C definition of underflow. It would be helpful to note this in Annex F.

*Problem* 4: Footnote 403) to #11 uses “underserved” instead of “spurious” which is used in similar contexts in C.

*Problem* 5: The overflow characterization in F.10 #8 might erroneously suggest that raising an “overflow” floating-point exception would be appropriate for the computation of an exact infinity.

The suggested changes below address these problems. They can be regarded as editorial since Annex F adopts IEC 60559 by reference. We do not suggest including the complete definition of IEC 60559 underflow because the details are esoteric and so rarely matter to users.

**Suggested change:**

Changes in F.10:

> [8] The "overflow" floating-point exception is raised whenever an infinity — or, because of rounding direction, a maximal-magnitude finite number — is returned in lieu of a finite value whose magnitude is too large.
>
> [9] The "underflow" floating-point exception is raised whenever a computed result is tiny ~~(essentially subnormal or zero) and suffers loss of accuracy.~~402) and the returned result is inexact.
>
> …
>
> [11] Whether or when library functions not bound to operations in IEC 60559 (F.3) raise ~~an undeserved~~ a spurious "underflow" floating-point exception is ~~unspecified~~ not specified by this annex.403) ~~Otherwise, as implied by F.8.6, these functions do not raise spurious floating-point exceptions (detectable by the user), other than the "inexact" floating-point exception.~~
>
> [11a] As implied by F.8.6, library functions do not raise spurious “invalid”, ““overflow”, or “divide-by-zero” floating-point exceptions (detectable by the user).
>
> 402) ~~IEC 60559 allows different definitions of underflow. They all result in the same values, but differ on when the floatingpoint exception is raised.~~Tiny generally indicates having a magnitude in the subnormal range. See IEC 60559 for details about detecting tininess.
>
> 403)It is intended that ~~undeserved~~ spurious "underflow" and "inexact" floating-point exceptions are raised only if avoiding them would be too costly. 7.12.1 specifies that if **`math_errhandling & MATH_ERREXCEPT`** is nonzero, then an “underflow” floating-point exception shall not be raised unless an underflow range error occurs.