'ckd_*' should be potentially reserved identifiers

David Svoboda

svoboda@sei.cmu.edu
Date: 2021-06-27

Document n2683, "Towards Integer Safety" provided a Core Proposal and a Supplemental Proposal, and the core proposal was accepted by the committee to be adopted into the forthcoming C standard, at the June 2021 WG14 meeting. The Supplemental proposal was not voted on, but received comments, and a future version might be adopted into the standard at a later date.

The core proposal added the following text to chapter 7 in the "Future Library Directions" section:

> 7.32.18 Checked Arithmetic Functions <stdckdint.h>
>
> Type and function names that begin with ckd_ may be added to the declarations in the <stdckdint.h> header.

This was the correct verbiage when the ckd_ identifiers were first proposed. However, since then, WG14 document N2625, "What we think we reserve" has added the term "potentially reserved identifier" to the C standard. At the June 2021 meeting, a straw poll was taken on the following question:

> Does the committee want the "ckd_" identifiers from N2683's Core proposal in future library directions to be potentially reserved identifiers?

The committee unanimously voted yes.

Therefore, we propose that the text be amended thusly: (new text is in green)

> 7.32.18 Checked Arithmetic Functions <stdckdint.h>
>
> Type and function names that begin with ckd_ are potentially reserved identifiers and may be added to the declarations in the <stdckdint.h> header.